Northern District of California

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Defendant.

	es District Court trict of California
GARY L. LEWIS, Plaintiff,	Case No.: C 4:12-4258 KAW ORDER OF DISMISSAL
v. COMMISSIONER OF SOCIAL SECURITY,	

Plaintiff is pro se and proceeds in forma pauperis in this social security case. The parties have consented to the jurisdiction of a magistrate judge. See 28 U.S.C. § 636(c).

On February 27, 2013, the Court ordered Plaintiff to show cause why his case should not be dismissed for failure to prosecute, and ordered Plaintiff to file his motion for summary judgment no later than March 13, 2013. The Court warned Plaintiff that failing to comply with the order would result in the dismissal of the case.

Plaintiff has filed what appears to be a response to the order to show cause, but has not filed a motion for summary judgment. In his response to the order to show cause, he states that he is pro hac vice, which he is not, and mentions motions for reconsideration and for administrative relief, without explanation. The reponse contains no facts and no intelligible arguments.

Because Plaintiff has not filed his motion for summary judgment, and has not satisfactorily responsed to the Court's order to show cause, the case is dismissed for failure to prosecute. See Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(b).

It is so ORDERED.

Dated: March 18, 2013

ED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE